Introduction to Quantum Machine Learning Paolo Stornati

paolo.stornati@icfo.eu



Spring School on Open-Source Tools for Quantum Computing & Simulation

Barcelona, March 2023





0 0 0 0 0

Supervised Learning

Source data are labelled aim to predict unlabelled data

Supervised Learning





Unsupervised Learning

Source data are labelled aim to predict unlabelled data



Neural Networks



- f is an activation function.
- if f(x)=Θ(x) (Heaviside), then one recovers the perceptron.
- The choice of the activation function depends on the problem.
- The activation function allows onto have nonlinearities.
- In modern neural networks, the most used activations functions are the sigmoid and ReLu.

Neural Networks



- Cost function: $C = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j} (y_{\text{pred},j} y_j)^2$
- Goal: minimize the cost function given the training set.
- Adjust the weights A_i and B_i to minimize the cost function.

Classical/Quantum Data VS Classical/ Quantum Algorithms



Applications of QML

Drug design, predict properties of new Error mitigation, design quantum materials with ML, speed up simulations, ... codes, compiling quantum circuits, ... Quantum Enhanced Quantum Computing Simulation Quantum 🗟 Machine Learning Quantum 🕐 Classical Machine Perception Data Analysis Quantum sensing, learning about exotic Speed up optimization in supervised

Picture adapted from arxiv.org/2303.09491

quantum systems and dynamics, ...

cand unsupervised ML tasks, ...

A quantum neural network

- QNN are quantum algorithms based on parametrized quantum circuits
- QNN are global unitary that depends on certain parameters heta



A quantum neural network

Variational quantum circuit ares defined as:

 $|\psi\rangle = U(\theta) |\psi_0\rangle$

 $U(\theta)$ is defined by a series of unitary operation: e.g. $R_x(\theta) = e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}\sigma_x}$



$U(\theta)$ is completely positive trace preserving (CPTP) map

A Quantum Machine Learning algorithm



Includes non linearity in the input data



Re-upload the data in the quantum circuit

Perez Salinas et al. Quantum 4, 226 (2020)

- •Classical data are loaded as rotation in the qubit
- •Three dimensional data \vec{x} can be loaded using one rotation
- •We call the uploading unitary $U(\vec{x})$
- •The processing unitaries are one qubit rotation $U(\overrightarrow{\phi})$
- •The layer in our QNN is:

$$L(i) = U(\vec{x})U(\vec{\phi}_i)$$

Perez Salinas et al. Quantum 4, 226 (2020)

Paolo Stornati

Data re-uploading with QNN
 The wave function is constructed:

$$|\psi(\vec{x}, \vec{\phi}_i)\rangle = U(\vec{x})U(\vec{\phi}_i)|\psi_0\rangle$$

•For multilayer architecture:

$$|\psi(\vec{x}, \vec{\phi})\rangle = \prod L(i) |\psi_0\rangle$$

•We need a cost function for our classification task

•The more layers the more representation capabilities the circuit

Perez Salinas et al. Quantum 4, 226 (2020)

- •Let's assume we have a binary classification problem
- •We can have P(0) for $|0\rangle$ and P(1) for $|1\rangle$
- We can put also a trashed value λ :
- •The output is 0 if $P(0) > \lambda$

ullet For more dimensional classification we can have different λ



Perez Salinas et al. Quantum 4, 226 (2020)

• Given a reference state in the block state ψ_c , we can write the cost function as:

$$C = \sum_{\mu}^{M} \left(1 - \left| < \psi_{\mu} | \psi(\vec{x_{\mu}}, \vec{\phi}) > \right|^{2} \right)$$

- $\bullet \psi_{\mu}$ is the corresponding labelled state to the μ data point
- •A classifier with this shape is universal
- Universal Approximation Theorem for classical NN

Perez Salinas et al. Quantum 4, 226 (2020)

Paolo Stornati

- Single qubit classifier cannot produce any quantum advantage
- •A very large number of layer is needed to approximate a complex function
- •The introduction of multiple qubits entangled may improve its performance
- •The idea is to re upload the data in different cubit and entangle them

Perez Salinas et al. Quantum 4, 226 (2020)

- •After every upload a entangling layer is introduced
- •The classification is performed only on one qubit
- •Not clear wether this prove advantage



Perez Salinas et al. Quantum 4, 226 (2020)

- •Example on a simple classification on a circle
- •The area of the two colours is the same
- Good approximation
 with 4 layer





Perez Salinas et al. Quantum 4, 226 (2020)

•Optimisation of a non convex function in 3 class problem

•Multiple entangled qubit improve the result



Perez Salinas et al. Quantum 4, 226 (2020)

Support Vector Machine

Linear Classifier

Paolo Stornati

- Constructs a hyperplane or set of hyperplanes in a high or infinitedimensional space, which can be used for classification,
- The class attributed on the test data points depends on the side of the plane.
- How to choose the line? By maximising the distance between the two clusters.



Support Vector Machine

- We are given a training dataset of points of the form (x_1, y_1) ...
 (x_n, y_n) where the y_i are either 1 or -1
- We want to find the "maximum-margin hyperplane" that divides the group of points for which from the group of points for which



Paolo Stornati

Support Vector Machine

The SVM problem boils down to the minimisation of the cost function
 Γ₁

$$L = \left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i} max(0,1-y_{i}(\beta^{T}x_{i}-b))\right] + \lambda |\beta|^{2}$$

- This reduce to a classical quadratic programming problem
- You can always write a linear system of equation to be solved to exactly find the global minimum of this function
- This is very costly for high dimensional data

- The problem therefore boils down to finding the solution of a linear system of equations.
- For dense matrices, finding the solution of such a system of N equations is of the order $\mathcal{O}(N^3)$.
- Here, quantum mechanics and quantum computers can help to have a speed-up.
- In this case, the role of the computer is to perform this matrix inversion.
- How? We sketch in the next slide the details of the algorithm introduced by Harrow, Hassidim and Lloyd.

Rebentrost et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 130503 (2014)

• A very famous algorithm has been designed to solve linear system of equation:

$$\mathbf{A}x = \mathbf{B}$$

- Where ${f A}$ is a non sparse matrix with condition number k
- The algorithm find x with $O(log(n)k^2)$ steps
- There is a debate on the speed up due to QRAM for loading data
- Could impure classical support vector machine

- Schematic representation of the circuit for the HHL algorithm
- Not feasible for NISQ devices



Image from qiskit tutorial

Classical kernel method

- Embed the data in a Higher dimensional system
- Construct a Kernel function that is your "distance "



• It is easier to find the hyperplane in higher dimensions

The data are encoded in a quantum device, such as a quantum circuit, which computes the kernel. These kernels are then used in classical SVM.

On the right an example of a dataset to show the capacity of quantum kernels. Blue (red) regions correspond to label 1 (0).



Havlícek et al, Nature 567(7747), 209 (2019)

Quantum SVM with Kernel method

In machine learning, a "kernel" is usually used to refer to the kernel trick, a method of using a linear classifier to solve a non-linear problem. It entails transforming linearly inseparable data like to linearly separable ones.

The image space of a quantum computer is very large. We can leverage this feature

Encode the classical data in a quantum computer:

$$x_i \to |\Phi(\theta, x_i) > |\Phi(\theta, x_i) > = U(\theta, x_i)|0 >$$

The kernel is defined as:

$$K(x_i, x_j) = \langle \Phi(x_i) | \Phi(x_j) \rangle$$

Quantum SVM with Kernel method

We can use the reverse trick to compute the kernel:



Havlícek et al, Nature 567(7747), 209 (2019)



QSVM vs Quantum enhanced SVM



Hybrid quantum classical approach



- Goal: Minimise the energy of a given Hamiltonian
- How: using the variational principle:

 $E = <\psi(\theta) \, | \, H \, | \, \psi(\theta) >$

• We want to find the values of θ^* that minimise the energy



Peruzzo et al, nat commun 5, 4213 (2014)

• We consider the Heisenberg Hamiltonian:

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} J_1 \sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+1}^x + J_2 \sigma_i^y \sigma_{i+1}^y + J_2 \sigma_i^z \sigma_{i+1}^z + \sum_{j=1}^{4} h_1 \sigma_i^x + h_2 \sigma_i^y + h_3 \sigma_i^z.$$

- •Feedback from quantum and classical device
- Training done with gradient descent
- Gradient computed with parameter shift rule



Energy of the variational state as a function of the optimisation step

Classical optimization of cost function

Highly non linear non-convex optimisation problem

• The circuit ansatz is:

- $|\Psi(\theta)\rangle = U(\theta)|\Psi_0\rangle$
- The cost function can be written as: $C(\theta) = \langle \Psi(\theta) | H | \Psi(\theta) \rangle$
- If the dependence of θ is through rotations:
- $b_M^{(N)}$ is a set of 3^N unknown coefficients

$$C(\theta) = b_M^{(N)} \left[\bigotimes_{i=1}^N \left(\cos \theta_i, \sin \theta_i, 1 \right) \right]^T$$

Parameter shift rule

- How do we perform the training?
- Minimisation of the loss function
- First approach: Gradient Free methods (Nelder Mead, etc...)
- Second Approach: Gradient descent.
- How do we compute the gradient?
- We do not have back-propagation (yet?) on quantum circuit
- Parameter shift rule!

Parameter shift rule

We want to compute the expectation value of this formula:

 $f(x,\theta) = \langle 0 | U^{\dagger}(\theta) B(x) U(\theta) | 0 \rangle$

 $M(\theta) = U^{\dagger}(\theta)B(x)U(\theta)$

 $\partial_{\theta} f(x,\theta) = <0 \left| \partial_{\theta} M(\theta) \right| 0 >$

 $\partial_{\theta} M_{\theta} = \partial_{\theta} U^{\dagger}(\theta) B(x) U(\theta) + U^{\dagger}(\theta) B(x) \partial_{\theta} U(\theta)$

Parameter shift rule

This imply that:

$$\partial_{\theta} M_{\theta} = \frac{i}{2} \sigma_{i} U^{\dagger}(\theta) B(x) U(\theta) - \frac{i}{2} U^{\dagger}(\theta) B(x) \sigma_{i} U(\theta)$$

$$\partial_{\theta} M_{\theta} = \frac{i}{2} U^{\dagger}(\theta) \left[B(x), \sigma_{i} \right] U(\theta)$$

For Pauli operators, one can show that:

$$\partial_{\theta} M_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} U^{\dagger}(\theta + \frac{\pi}{2}) B(x) U(\theta + \frac{\pi}{2}) - \frac{1}{2} U^{\dagger}(\theta - \frac{\pi}{2}) B(x) U(\theta - \frac{\pi}{2})$$

Therefore:

$$\partial_{\theta} f(x,\theta) = <0 | M(\theta + \frac{\pi}{2}) | 0 > - <0 | M(\theta - \frac{\pi}{2}) | 0 >$$

Paolo Stornati

Paolo Stornati

Training a quantum neural network



The circuit is sufficiently random

It approach a unitary 2-design



•For Harr random unitaries on n qubits:

$$\partial_{\theta}(C) = 0$$

•The Harr unitaries means that the circuit prepare states uniformly in the $2^n - 1$ dimensional space

- •The gradient of the cost function is always
- •Lipschitz continuous
- •Easy to demonstrate from the analytical form of C

- •The problem is even worse
- •For a circuit is of sufficient depth
- •The variance of the gradient:

 $Var[\partial_{\theta}(C)] \propto 2^{-n}$

- •Zero variance means difficulty into training
- •This limit is approached when approaching the unitary two

design

Numerica example:



Nature Communications volume 9, Article number: 4812 (2018)

- When we increase the number of qubits from 2 to 24 the problem become persist
- Large number of layers to approach unitary two design
- Issue in trying deep quantum neural network





References

Quantum machine learning (review) arXivNoisy intermediate-scale quantum (NISQ) algorithmsRecent advances for quantum classifiers arXivVariational quantum algorithms arXivQiskit tutorial on quantum machine learningBook on Machine learning for quantum physics arxiv.org/2204.04198

Comparison with classical NN

- •The gradient in a classical deep neural network can vanish exponentially in the number of layers
- in a quantum circuit the gradient may vanish exponentially in the number of qubits
- •The gradient saturates to an exponential in the number of qubits because the output state is normalized.
- •For QNN, the problem is not solved but mitigated in some cases